Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/741,999	MODELSKI ET AL.	:
	Examiner	Art Unit	·
	Tony Mahmoudi	2165	:
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		:
(1) David A. Dagg (Attorney of Record).	(3)		•
(2) <u>Tony Mahmoudi</u> .	(4)		:
Date of Interview: <u>16 September 2005</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representativ	e]	:
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>5</u> .			•
Identification of prior art discussed: None.			; ;
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. o	y) was not reached. h) □ N	N/A.	:
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		if an agreement was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	y been filed, APPLICAN S INTERVIEW SUMM	NT IS
			:
			•
			:
			:
	•		-
			:
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Harray	Mahanend 17	ary"
Examine Note. Tou must sign this form unless it is an	- Wow - U		,

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called the Attorney of Record and indicated that claim 5 appeared twice in the latest response by applicant (dated 30-August-2005). The remarks section mentioned that claim 5 had been canceled but the listing of the claims listed claim 5 twice, once as "canceled", followed by claim 5 as "previously presented". The examiner requested permission to amend the claim listing to remove the second appearance of claim 5, leaving claim 5 as "canceled" via an examiner's amendment. Permission was granted by the attorney.